arXiv:hep-ph/0611391v1 30 Nov 2006

Symmetry breaking induced by 't Hooft non-abelian flux

M. Salvatori

Departamento de Física Teórica and Instituto de Física Teórica ,
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain.

Abstract

We analyze a $SU(N)$ gauge theory on $\mathcal{M}_4 \times T^2$. We find and catalogue all possible degenerate zero-energy stable configurations in the case of trivial or non-trivial 't Hooft non abelian flux. We describe the residual symmetries of each vacua and the 4-dimensional effective spectrum in terms of continous and discrete parameters, respectively.

1 Introduction

In the Standard Model, the explanation of the electroweak symmetry breaking and the correlated Hierarchy problem still remains a non completely understood issue. Theories with extra space-like dimensions compactified on non-simply connected manifolds represent a possible scenario where trying to solve this problem. Such context, indeed, offers a new possibility of symmetry breaking: the Scherk-Schwarz (SS) mechanism [1]. The non local nature of this symmetry breaking protects the theory from ultraviolet divergences and makes it a promising candidate mechanism to break the electroweak symmetry. In this paper we analyze the $SU(N)$ stable vacua and their symmetries in the case of two extra space-like dimensions compactified *á la* SS on a 2-dimensional torus, T^2. The case of trivial non-abelian t'Hooft flux is well-known in the literature [2], while the phenomenology of the non-trivial non-abelian t'Hooft flux has not been explored yet.

2 $SU(N)$ on $\mathcal{M}_4 \times T^2$: stable vacua and symmetries

Consider a $SU(N)$ gauge theory on a space time of the type $\mathcal{M}_4 \times T^2$. We will denote by x the coordinates of the 4-dimensional Minkowski space $\mathcal{M}_4$ and by y the extra-space like dimensions compactified on a 2-dimensional torus.

A gauge field living on $\mathcal{M}_4 \times T^2$ has to be periodic up to a gauge transformation under the fundamental shifts $\mathcal{T}_a : y \to y + l_a$ with $a = 1, 2$, that define the torus:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{A}_M(x, y + l_a) & = & \Omega_a(y)\mathbf{A}_M(x, y)\Omega_a^\dagger(y) + \frac{i}{g}\Omega_a(y)\partial_M\Omega_a^\dagger(y) \\ \mathbf{F}_{MN}(x, y + l_a) & = & \Omega_a(y)\mathbf{F}_{MN}(x, y)\Omega^\dagger(y)\,, \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where $M, N = 0, 1, ..., 5$, $a = 1, 2$ and l_a is the length of the direction a. The eq.(1) is known as coordinate dependent Scherk-Schwarz compactification. The transition functions $\Omega_a(y)$ are the embedding of the fundamental shifts in the gauge space and in order to preserve 4-dimensional Poincaré invariance, they can only depend on the extra dimensions y. Under a gauge transformation $S \in SU(N)$, the $\Omega_a(y)$ transform as $\Omega'_a(y) = S(y + l_a)\,\Omega_a(y)\,S^\dagger(y)$. In addition, the transition functions are constrained by the following consistency condition coming from the geometry:

$$\Omega_1(y + l_2)\,\Omega_2(y) \;=\; e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{N}}\,\Omega_2(y + l_1)\,\Omega_1(y)\,. \tag{2}$$

The factor $\exp[2\pi i m/N]$ is the embedding of the identity in the gauge space[1] and the gauge invariant quantity m is a topological quantity called *non-abelian 't Hooft flux* [3].

For a generic Lie gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ on T^{2n}, all sets of transition functions $\{\Omega_a\}$ satisfying eq.(2) are *gauge equivalent* if and only if $\mathcal{G}$ is $2n-1$-connected, that is, if $\Pi_i(\mathcal{G}) = 0 \ \forall i = 1, .., 2n-1$ [4]. In particular, when $n = 1$ and $\mathcal{G} = SU(N)$, *i.e.* a simple connected gauge group on a 2-dimensional torus, all solutions of eq.(2) are *gauge equivalent* [4, 5].

For any choice of Ω_a satisfying the constraint in eq. (2), it is always possible, therefore, to find a $SU(N)$ gauge transformation $U(y)$ satisfying

$$U(y + l_a) \ = \ \Omega_a(y)\, U(y)\, V_a^\dagger \qquad \text{with} \ \ a = 1, 2\,, \tag{3}$$

where V_a are constant solutions of the consistency condition in eq.(2).

Two pairs V_1, V_2 and V_1', V_2' are called *non-equivalent* if they are not connected by a $SU(N)$ gauge transformation. Eq. (3) allow to show [4, 6] that, given the transition functions $\Omega_1(y), \Omega_2(y)$, for each *non-equivalent* pair of V_1, V_2 there exists a different gauge transformation $U(y)$ giving rise to a different stable zero-energy configuration of the type

$$\langle \mathbf{A}_a \rangle \ = \ \frac{i}{g}\, U(y)\, \partial_a\, U^\dagger(y)\,, \tag{4}$$

compatible with the periodicity conditions in eq.(1). To classify the possible stable vacuum configurations for a $SU(N)$ gauge theory on $\mathcal{M}_4 \times T^2$ with a fixed value of the t' Hooft non abelian flux m, it means, thus, to catalogue all possible *non-equivalent* pairs of V_a. This result is even more transparent in the *background symmetric gauge* [4], that is the gauge in which $\langle \mathbf{A}_a^{sym} \rangle = 0$ and $\Omega_a^{sym} = V_a$.

The constant solutions of the consistency condition in eq.(2) and the residual symmetries for non-trivial and trivial 't Hooft non abelian flux m are summarized in table 1.

For $m = 0$, V_a commute and they can only depend on the $N-1$ generators belonging to the Cartan subalgebra of $SU(N)$. The constant transition functions V_a are completely characterized by $2(N-1)$ parameters (α_a^j) that take values continuously between 0 and 1. Such parameters are non-integrable phases, which arise only in a topologically non-trivial space and cannot be gauged-away. Their values are determined dynamically only at quantum

[1] A non-trivial value of m is possible only in absence of field representations sensitive to the center of the group.

$\mathbf{m \neq 0}$	$\mathbf{m=0}$
$V_a = P^{\alpha_a} Q^{\beta_a}$	$V_a = e^{2\pi i \alpha_a^j H_j}$
$P_{kj} = e^{-2\pi i \frac{(k-1)}{N}} \; e^{i\pi \frac{N-1}{N}} \; \delta_{kj}$ $Q_{kj} = e^{i\pi \frac{N-1}{N}} \; \delta_{k,j-1}$	$H_j \in \text{Cartan} \quad [H_i, H_j] = 0$ $i, j = 1, ..., N-1$
$\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1 = m$ $\lvert\alpha_a\rvert, \lvert\beta_a\rvert = 0, 1, .., N-1$	$\alpha_a^j \in [0, 1[$
$SU(N) \to SU(k_2)^{\frac{k_1}{k_2}} \times U(1)^{\frac{k_1}{k_2}-1}$	$\forall \alpha_a^j \neq 0 \qquad SU(N) \to U(1)^{N-1}$

Table 1: Constant solutions of eq.(2) and their symmetries. $k_1 = g.c.d.(m, N)$, $k_2 = g.c.d.(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, N)$.

level. Since V_a commute, the symmetry breaking is rank-preserving, resulting in the following 4-dimensional mass spectrum:

$$\begin{array}{ll} m^j_{n_1,n_2} = 4\pi^2 \left[\frac{n_1^2}{l_1^2} + \frac{n_2^2}{l_2^2}\right] & \text{if} \quad \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{j}}_\mu \in \text{Cartan} \\ m^r_{n_1,n_2} = 4\pi^2 \sum_{a=1}^{2} \left[\left(n_a + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} q_r^j \alpha_a^j\right)^2 \frac{1}{l_a^2}\right] & \text{if} \quad \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{r}}_\mu \notin \text{Cartan}\,. \end{array} \tag{5}$$

n_1, n_2 are integers and q_r^j are roots of $SU(N)$. When all $\alpha_a^j \neq 0$, the only 4-dimensional massless gauge bosons are those associated to the Cartan subalgebra, giving rise to the maximal rank-preserving symmetry breaking $SU(N) \to U(1)^{N-1}$.

In the case $m \neq 0$, V_a do not commute. There exists a finite number of constant solutions of eq. (2) described by 4 discrete parameters (α_a, β_a) that take integer values in the range $[-N+1, N-1]$. These parameters are subject to the following constraint coming from eq.(2): $\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 = m$. Notice that α_a, β_a cannot be simultaneously zero.

Since the transition functions do not commute, the residual symmetry group has rank lower than $SU(N)$. In particular, in terms of the two parameters[2] $k_1 = g.c.d.(m, N)$ and $k_2 = g.c.d.(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, N)$, the symmetry breaking reads $SU(N) \to SU(k_2)^{\frac{k_1}{k_2}} \times U(1)^{\frac{k_1}{k_2}-1}$ [4,6]. Notice that for a given

[2] g.c.d.= great common divisor.

m and N (and consequently k_1), it is possible to have different degenerate vacua characterized by different sets of discrete parameters α_1, α_2, β_1, β_2. They correspond to different values of k_2 and therefore different residual symmetries. Only quantum effects remove such degeneration and determine the true vacuum of the theory. The 4-dimensional gauge mass spectrum reads [4]

$$m^2_{n_1,n_2} = 4\pi^2 \sum_{a=1}^{2} \left(n_a + \frac{\alpha_a \, \Delta \; + \; \beta_a \, k_\Delta}{N} \right)^2 \frac{1}{l_a^2} \, , \tag{6}$$

where n_1, n_2 are integer numbers, $\Delta = 0, 1, ..., N-1$, and $k_{\Delta=0} = 1, ..., N-1$ and $k_{\Delta\neq 0} = 0, 1, ..., N-1$. The spectrum in eq.(6) manifests the symmetry breaking of table 1: the only zero modes are associated to the $k_1 \, k_2 - 1$ 4-dimensional gauge bosons for which $\frac{\alpha_a \, \Delta \, + \, \beta_a \, k_\Delta}{N}$ $(\forall a = 1, 2)$ are integer numbers.

Notice that the spectra for the case $m = 0$ and $m \neq 0$ in eq.(5) and eq.(6) respectively, show a similar structure with the only difference that the symmetry breaking masses are expressed in terms of continous ($m = 0$) and discrete ($m \neq 0$) parameters. While in the $m = 0$ case, the scale of the lightest non-zero masses $2\pi\alpha_a/l_a$ with $a = 1, 2$ is arbitrary and it is fixed only at the quantum level, for the $m \neq 0$ case the non trivial constraint in eq.(2) determines the new scales $\frac{2\pi}{l_a} \frac{1}{N}$ already at the classical level.

Finally, it is worth to underline the different nature of the symmetry breaking for the two cases of trivial ($m = 0$) and non trivial ($m \neq 0$)'t Hooft non abelian flux. In the case $m = 0$, indeed, the gauge symmetry breaking is exactly like the Hosotani mechanism [2]: it is always possible to choose an appropriate background gauge, compatible with the consistency conditions, in which the transition functions are trivial ($V_1 = V_2 = \mathbf{1}$) and the extra space-like components of the six-dimensional gauge fields $\mathbf{A}_a$ acquire a vacuum expectation value (VEV): $\langle \mathbf{A}_a \rangle = B_a$. In this case, the symmetry breaking can be seen as spontaneous in the following sense:

1. For each 4-dimensional massive gauge field $\mathbf{A}_\mu$, there exists a linear combination of the $\mathbf{A}_a$ that play the role of a 4-dimensional scalar pseudo-goldstone boson eaten, by the 4-dimensional gauge bosons to became a longitudinal degree of freedom.

2. The VEV of $\mathbf{A}_a$ works as the order parameter of the symmetry breaking mechanism. In particular, it is possible to deform $\langle \mathbf{A}_a \rangle$ to zero compatibly with the consistency conditions so as to restore all the initial symmetries.

In the case $m \neq 0$, we cannot interpret the symmetry breaking mechanism as a spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism. The consistency conditions,

indeed, forbid to have trivial transition functions and then *the symmetry breaking can not be related* ***only*** *to the VEV of* $\mathbf{A}_a$. Although for each massive 4-dimensional gauge boson $\mathbf{A}_\mu$ there exists a 4-dimensional pseudo-goldstone boson, it is not possible to determine an order parameter that can be deformed, compatibly with the consistency conditions, in such a way to restore all the initial symmetries.

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge for very interesting discussion to J. Bellorin, A. Broncano, A. Ruzzo, M.B. Gavela and J. Alfaro. I am special indebted for the discussion and for reading the manuscript to S. Rigolin. I also acknowledge MECD for financial support through FPU fellowship AP2003-1540.

References

[1] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, *Nucl. Phys. B* **153**, 61 (1979); *Phys. Lett. B* **82**, 60 (1979).

[2] Y. Hosotani, *Phys. Lett. B* **126** 309 (1983); *Phys. Lett. B* **129** 193 (1983); *Annals Phys.* **190** 233 (1989).

[3] G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys. B* **153**, 141 (1979).

[4] M. Salvatori, arXiv:hep-ph/0611309.

[5] J. Ambjorn, B. Felsager and P. Olesen, *Nucl. Phys. B* **175**, 349 (1980).

[6] J. Alfaro, A. Broncano, M. B. Gavela, S. Rigolin and M. Salvatori, arXiv:hep-ph/0606070.

[7] G. 't Hooft, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **81** 267 (1981).